



United States Patent and Trademark Office

D STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/665,934	09/20/2000	Clifford A. McCarthy	10003832-1	8556
75	90 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
	ACKARD COMPAN'	Y	MIRZA, A	DNAN M
	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins CO 80527-2400			2141	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/665,934	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M Mirza	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	∍d
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPt R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex unt of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office ac	EP ktension xtension tion; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	g the
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: Claim 1, 8, and 15 have been amended.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-8,10-15 and 17-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
 10 Other:			
	BEST AVAI	LABLE COPY	

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose "calculating a scaling ratio for each group; sorting active groups by their scaling ratios and reallocating the excess entitlement to the active groups in proportion to the respective entitlement values. Whereby the system resource reallocated to each of the active group's maximum limit. As to applicant's argument Allen disclosed the installation is given the flexibility to determine a maximum value based on the customer environment since limiting the number of connections to coupling facility structure will lessen the amount of the space used by the function data set. This value will be used to reserve total function data set for all coupling facility structures in the active policy and will be rounded to the next highest unit of 8 (col. 22, lines 51-58). Allen also disclosed the list monitor table is a sequence of objects, called list-monitor-table entries is determined when the table is created and is equal to the maximum number of list-structure-users (col. 15, lines 51-54).

RUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY